

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
RECEIVED

JUL -7 2015

TONY R. MOORE, CLERK
BY UP DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
LAFAYETTE DIVISION

IN RE: ACTOS® (PIOGLITAZONE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 6:11-md-2299

JUDGE DOHERTY

MAGISTRATE JUDGE HANNA

This Document Applies To:

*Harbuck v. Takeda Pharmaceutical
Company Limited, et al.,*

Case No. 6:13-cv-0185

*Omohundro v. Takeda Pharmaceutical Company
Limited, et al.,*

Case No. 6:12-cv-3131

*Payne, et al. v. Takeda Pharmaceutical Company
Limited, et al.,*

Case No. 6:13-cv-0180

*Roble v. Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, et
al.,*

Case No. 6:12-cv-3132

*Vantosky v. Takeda Pharmaceutical Company
Limited, et al.,*

Case No. 6:13-cv-0147

RULING

The Court received a motion, entitled Motion for Leave [Rec. Doc. 5618], requesting leave to register the captioned claims in the Census of Claims after the deadline given in this Court's Case Management Order Regarding Census of Claims [Rec. Doc. 5551] ("the Census Order"). The Court provided notice of the motion's filing to all parties and an opportunity to oppose it [Rec. Doc. 5646]. The sole response to the motion was notice by the Co-Lead Counsel of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee (PSC) that they do not oppose inclusion of the captioned claims in the Census [Rec. Doc. 5678]. The Court now turns to the merits of the motion.

The motion indicates that counsel failed to register the captioned claims by the deadline because at the time the Census Order was issued, the claims were in the midst of a transfer to

new counsel, in effect arguing excusable neglect. The claims were originally filed with this Court on or before May 1, 2015, and consequently would have been eligible for inclusion in the Census. The motion for leave to register after the deadline was filed within 6 days of the deadline set by this Court. Considering each of these factors, and the lack of opposition by the PSC and Defendants, the Court finds that counsel's failure to register with the Census prior to the deadline does fall within the scope of "excusable neglect" referenced in FED.R.CIV.P. 6(b)(1). The Court notes, however, that excusable neglect is a narrow concept which this Court does not apply lightly, and this determination should not be seen as precedent for any future filings that might come after this ruling.

The Court notes, however, that counsel's motion additionally requests permission to be able to participate in the Settlement Program. This Court does not have authority to grant or deny that permission; participation in the Settlement Program is governed by the terms of the MSA. Therefore, that portion of movant's motion is DENIED.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED counsel's Motion for Leave [Rec. Doc. 5618] is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. Counsel's request for leave to register the claim in the captioned case with the Census of Claims is GRANTED. Counsel's request for permission to participate in the Settlement Program is DENIED as outside this Court's purview.

THUS DONE AND SIGNED in Lafayette, Louisiana, this 1st day of July, 2015.



REBECCA F. DOHERTY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE